

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/569,492	02/27/2006	Nobuo Naito	127199	3472
25944 OLIEE & DED	7590 03/03/2008 PIDGE PLC		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850			CHANG, VICTOR S	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	<u>.</u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/569,492	NAITO ET AL.	
Office A	ction Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
		Victor S. Chang	1794	İ
	DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress
Period for Reply	**************************************	DLV IO OFT TO EVOIDE	MONTH I/O) OD THIOTO/ (O	0\ DA\(0
WHICHEVER IS LC - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS for If NO period for reply is 5. Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR REDNGER, FROM THE MAILING e available under the provisions of 37 CFR om the mailing date of this communication, pecified above, the maximum statutory per set or extended period for reply will, by state of the mailing date of the months after the matter. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	C DATE OF THIS COMMUI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M atute, cause the application to become	NICATION.  y a reply be timely filed  IONTHS from the mailing date of this or  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 2	7 February 2006.		
2a) ☐ This action is	FINAL. 2b) T	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this app	olication is in condition for allo	wance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in acco	ordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	).D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u>	is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		٠
4a) Of the abo	ove claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		•
5) Claim(s)	_ is/are allowed.	•	•	
6)				•
<u>'</u>	_ is/are objected to.			
8) X  Claim(s) <u>1-12</u>	are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers		•		
9) The specificati	ion is objected to by the Exam	iiner.		
10) The drawing (s	s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) Objected	to by the Examiner.	
	not request that any objection to	*	• • • •	
	lrawing sheet(s) including the con eclaration is objected to by the	•	•	
11) The oath of de	ciaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attack	led Office Action of John Pi	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119			
	ent is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C	;. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
·	some * c)☐ None of:		•	
<u> </u>	d copies of the priority docum		. Ali-si N.	•
	d copies of the priority docum- of the certified copies of the p			Stane
	tion from the International Bur	·	·	Otage
* *	ed detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ot received.	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References C			w Summary (PTO-413)	
	's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application	
Information Disclosure     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Cher	и внопнат с акен Аррисакоп	•

Application/Control Number: 10/569,492

Art Unit: 1794

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Please elect one of the embodiments in independent claims 1, 4, 6, 8 and 10.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: 1, 4, 6, 8 and 10.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each species has distinct structure and/or composition, and there is no evidence that they are obvious variants.

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor S. Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-1474. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am 5:00 pm, Tuesday Thursday.

Art Unit: 1794

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel H. Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Victor S Chang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

10/6/2007